



తెలంగాణ రాజ పత్రము
THE TELANGANA GAZETTE
PART - I EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 24]

HYDERABAD, TUESDAY, JANUARY 12, 2016.

NOTIFICATIONS BY HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS Etc.

—X—

COMMISSIONER OF COMMERCIAL TAXES

FINALISATION OF INTEGRATED SENIORITY LIST OF ACTOS OF ZONE-VI FOR THE PANEL YEAR 2009-10 – SHOW CAUSE.

- Ref: 1. Show Cause Notice in reference C (DX)/434/2015, dt.23-06-2015 for 2009-10 by the Addl. Commissioner (CT), O/o the Commissioner (CT), Telangana State.
2. Objections of Smt B.Parijatha, ACTO dt.07-07-2015 of Hyderabad (R) Nodal (CT) Division.
 3. Objections of Sri B.Ramkishan Rao, ACTO, O/o CTO, Hydernagar Circle dt.4-7-2015.
 4. Objections of S/Sri R.Pandu and N.Venkatesh ACTOs dt.2-7-2015 and dt.6-7-2015, working in the offices of CTO, Saroornagar Circle and Madhapur Circle, Hyderabad.
 5. Objections of Sri K.Bheekya, ACTO O/o DC (CT) Hyderabad (R) Division dt.6-7-2015 and 14-8-2015.
 6. Objections of A.UmamaheshwarRao, ACTO, O/o CTO, S.D.Road, Begumpet (CT) Division, dt.6-7-2015.
 7. Objections of B.Shyam, DCTO (Manager) O/o the DC (CT) Hyderabad (R) Division dt.19-6-2015.
 8. Objections of Smt B. Navarathna, ACTO, O/o CTO, Abids, dt. 6-7-2015.
 9. Objections of Sri G. Suresh Kumar, ACTO, O/o DC(CT), Secunderabad Division, dt. 6-7-2015.
 10. Objections of Sri Ch. Sudhakar Rao, ACTO, O/o DC(CT), Charminar Division, dt. 6-7-2015.
 11. Objections of Sri K. Seetharam, ACTO, O/o CTO, Maredpally, dt. 6-7-2015.
 12. Objections of Sri G. Shiva Rao, ACTO, O/o CTO, Srinagar Colony, dt. 1-7-2015.
 13. Objections of Sri R. Sridhar, ACTO, O/o CTO, Mahankali Street, dt. 1-7-2015.
 14. Objections of Sri P. Pragathi Kumar, ACTO, O/o CTO, Somajiguda, dt. 19-8-2015.
 15. Objections of Sri C. Ramkishan, ACTO, O/o DC(CT), Nizamabad and (4) others, dt. 6-7-2015.
 16. Objection of Smt T. Indira, ACTO, O/o CTO, Hydernagar, dt. 4-7-2015.
 17. TS CCTs Ref. No. C2/1/2014, dt. 5-6-2014.

CCT's Ref.No.C(DX)/434/2015;- In the reference 1st cited, Show cause notice was issued proposing to finalise the integrated seniority list of ACTOs of Zone-VI comprising the Commercial Taxes Nodal Divisions of Secunderabad and Hyderabad Rural Nodal Divisions for the panel year 2009-10 and invited objections, if any, from the affected persons.

2. In pursuance of the above show cause notice the certain individuals filed objections in the references 2nd to 16th cited and their objections are discussed as under:

a) Objections of Smt B.Parijatha, ACTO:-

It is her contention that she belongs to S.C. Community and native of Telangana region. She was originally selected as ACTO by the APPSC, Hyderabad in the year 2007 under Direct Recruitment quota and allotted to the Unit of Appointment of DC (CT) Guntur Nodal Division in Zone-III, where she joined on 22-10-2007. Subsequently, through the orders of the Government G.O.Rt.No.599 Rev.(CT-I) Dept., Dt.22-4-2010, she was transferred to the Unit of Appointment of DC (CT) Hyderabad (R) Nodal Division in Zone-IV under mutual transfer with Smt K.Sujatha, ACTO of Zone-VI. As such, she has requested for seniority in the cadre of ACTO in the panel year 2007-08 instead of in the panel year 2009-10 at Sl.No.81. In support of this view, she relied on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in C.A.No.4662/2007 dtd.28-7-2011 and C.A.No.4455/2008 dtd.16-7-2008 by contending that as per rule 33 (B) of State and Subordinate Service Rules, 1996, all the Direct Recruits ACTOs should be given placement in the seniority list of ACTOs enbloc with reference to merit based on marks of the selection authority and the orders of the Government in G.O.Ms.No.960 Rev (CT-I) Dept., Dt.10-9-2009 and the orders of the DC (CT) Guntur Nodal Division dt.31-1-2013 in which Smt K.Sujatha was transferred to Zone-III from Zone-VI on mutual basis with her was given seniority in the panel year 2007-08 in Zone-III.

The individual has requested for inclusion in the panel year 2007-08 in Zone-VI.

Reply to the objection:

The above contention of Smt.B.Parijatha has been examined with reference to rule 34 of the State and Subordinate Service Rules, 1996 and G.O.Ms.No.599 Rev. (CT-I) Dept., Dt.22-4-2010. The rule 34 envisages that where as integrated or common seniority list of a particular class, or category or grade in any service belonging to different units of appointment has to be prepared for the purpose of promotion or appointment by transfer to a class or category having different units of appointment or for any other purpose, such an integrated or common seniority list shall be prepared with reference to the provision of sub-rule (a) of rule 33, provided that the seniority list of the persons inter-se belonging to the same units shall not be disturbed. This would mean that the integrated seniority list should be based on the seniority list of the respective units of appointment, in this case it is Hyderabad (R) Nodal (CT) Division under Zone-VI. The Deputy Commissioner (CT) of this nodal division has included her name only in the panel year / seniority list of the year 2009-10. Therefore, her name is rightly proposed in the panel year 2009-10 in the integrated seniority list of Zone-VI, in terms of rule 34 of the State and Subordinate Service Rules, 1996, read with Government order referred to above. As such, she cannot be placed in the panel year 2007-08.

The judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court taken support by her, has no application in this case. In the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court the issue that came up for consideration was whether a seniority between Direct Recruits should be with reference to selection at roster point or as per the merit with reference to marks. The Hon'ble Supreme Court answered the issue that seniority between the Direct Recruits of the same batch should be with reference to marks. As Smt. B.Parijatha, DR ACTO was initially selected and appointed as ACTO in Zone-III and subsequently on mutual transfer to Zone-VI through the above G.O, has to take last rank below the last regular candidate in the new unit in Zone-VI. Her joining in Zone VI would fall in the panel year 2009-10.

The name of the individual was placed at Sl. No. 81 in the proposed integrated seniority list of ACTOs of Zone VI (show cause notice dated 23-6-2015) based on the seniority list of ACTOs of Hyderabad (Rural) Nodal Division finalised vide Proc.No.E1/166/2012, dt. 1-4-2015.

Further, the individual filed representation before the Government with similar request, the issue is pending with Government. After receipt of Government orders, necessary action will be taken accordingly.

Therefore, her objections are devoid of merits and over ruled.

b) Objection of Sri G. Shiva Rao, ACTO:-

The individual has stated that he belongs to SC community. He further stated that in the proposed integrated seniority list of ACTOs of Zone VI, dated 23-6-2015, his name is placed at Sl.No.71 and there is no mention regarding his community against his name. The individual requested to mention as SC against his name during finalization of the integrated seniority list of ACTOs of Zone VI.

Reply to the objection:

The above objection has been examined with reference to seniority list of ACTOs of Secundernabad Nodal Division finalized vide Rc. No. A2/14/2012, dt. 14-5-2015 and records, his name was placed at against 41 SC roster point in the said list. Therefore, his request is considered.

c) Objection of Sri R. Sridhar, ACTO:-

The individual has stated that he belongs to SC community. He further stated that in the proposed integrated seniority list of ACTOs of Zone VI dated 23-6-2015, his name is placed at Sl. No. 86 and there is no mention regarding his community against his name. The individual requested to mention as SC against his name during finalization of the integration seniority list of ACTOs of Zone VI.

Reply to the objection:

The above objection has been examined with reference to seniority list of ACTOs of Secunderabad Nodal Division finalized vide Rc. No. A2/14/2012, dated 14-5-2015 and records, his name was placed against 52 SC roster point in the said list. Therefore, his request is considered.

d) Objection of Sri P. Pragathi Kumar, ACTO:-

The individual has stated that he belongs to SC community and appointed through APPSC Group-II in the year 2009 under SC category. He further stated that in the proposed integrated seniority list of ACTOs of Zone VI dated 23-6-2015, his name is placed at Sl. No. 34 and there is no mention regarding his community against his name. The individual requested to mention as SC against his name in the integrated seniority list.

Reply to the objection:

The above objection has been examined with reference to merit list communicated by APPSC and found that he was appointed against SC roster point. Therefore, the objection of the individual is considered.

e) Objections of Sri A.Uma Maheshwar Rao and Sri Ch. Sudhakar Rao and Sri K. Seetha Ram, Smt B. Navarathna, and Sri G. Suresh Kumar, ACTOs:-

The above individuals have filed common objections as detailed below:

1. They have stated that they objected for their placements in the seniority list of Secunderbad Nodal Division finalised vide ref. dated 14-5-2015 and placing of DR's "ENMASSE" and non inter-spersion of Rank Promotees and DR's as per Rule 3 of AP State Commercial Tax Subordinate Service Rules. The Dy.Commissioner(CT) has finalized the seniority contrary to Special Rule as well as Rule 4 of AP State and Subordinate Service Rules.
2. They stated that in the circumstances as no alternative is left they have appealed to the esteemed Commissioner vide letter dated 23-5-2015 as per Rule 23 of AP State & Subordinate Service Rules as an Appellate Authority. In the mean while when they have made a representation to the Government for issuing clarification of interpretation of Rules, before issuing of final seniority list, the Government vide Memo dated 11-06-2015 issued clarification for finalizing the Seniority list but by the time the said memo was issued the seniority list was already finalized and this issue they brought to the notice of benign Commissioner in their representation. But no Orders were passed on their appeal.
3. They stated that the Additional Commissioner (CT) issued a show cause notice on 23-06-2015 for integration of seniority list of Hyderabad Rural and Secunderabad Nodal Division. Then they have made another representation to Government vide letter dated 26-6-2015. The Government issued clear clarification which is in unmincing words clearly explained how to follow the rules while fixing seniority in the cadre of ACTO referring to Rule 3 of Special Rules as well as Rule 4 of AP State and Subordinate Service Rules.

Finally they requested to consider the (2) Government Memos dated 11-6-2015 and 29-6-2015 and set aside the final seniority list of ACTOs of Secunderabad Nodal Division and direct the Dy.Commissioner (CT), Secunderabad Nodal Division to finalise the seniority list ACTOs in true and strict conformity with Government Memo's dated 11-6-2015 and 29-6-2015 and requested to direct the Addl.Commissioner(CT) not to proceed with the integration of seniority list of Secunderabad and Hyderabad Rural Nodal Divisions as per the show cause notice dated 23-6-2015.

Reply to the objections:

The Deputy Commissioners (CT), Hyderabad Rural and Secunderabad Nodal Divisions vide their letters dated 19-6-2015 and 3-7-2015 respectively have informed that they have followed instructions issued by the Government from time to time while finalizing the seniority list of ACTOs from 2009-10 to 2012-13.

Further, certain appeal petitions are filed against the said finalised seniority lists of Hyderabad (Rural) and Secunderabad Nodal Divisions. This office has proceeded with the work of integration of the seniority lists of ACTOs

for the panel year 2009-10, with an assumption that the appeals filed by the individuals are prima facie legally and factually incorrect, pending disposal of the appeals.

Later, the Government have also withdrawn their instructions issued in Memo dated 29-6-2015 vide Government Memo 7-8-2015.

The appeals filed by certain individuals were disposed of by the Commissioner (CT) separately on 9-12-2015, as per the directions of the Hon'ble APAT dated 19-11-2015 in O.A. No. 4820 of 2015, O.A. No. 4581/2015 with C.A. No. 736/2015 and VMA No. 1021/2015, VMA No. 1159/2015 and O.A. No. 4882/2015.

Therefore, the objections filed by the above individuals are not sustainable and over-ruled.

f) Objections of Sri B.Shyam, DCTO:-

It is his contention Sri B.Shyam has stated that against the seniority list / panels of the ACTOs for the years, 2009-10 to 2012-13, as finalised by the Appointing Authority i.e., Deputy Commissioner (CT) Hyderabad (R) Division, he filed an appeal and without disposing his appeal, issuing of the present integrated show cause notice to finalise the integrated seniority list of ACTOs of Zone-VI for a fraction period 2009-10 is incorrect and without proper examination. It is his second contention that placing all the Direct Recruits numbering of 2009 batch enbloc in the integrated show cause notice of ACTOs is contrary to clarificatory instructions of Government in Memo No.5683/CT.I/2014, Rev (CT.I) Dept., dated 29-6-2015. It is his third contention that all upgraded 114 posts of ACTOs in G.O.Ms.No.201 Finance (SMPC) Dept., dated 24-5-2005 shall be earmarked to the Rank Promotees in the year 2005 itself as those posts being temporary in nature and no apportionment should be made to the Direct Recruits. Though, initially these posts apportioned to Rank Promotees but subsequently a part of these posts also apportioned to the Direct Recruits, which is contrary to rule 4 (b) of the State and Subordinate Service Rules, 1996.

Reply to the objection:

The above objections have been carefully examined with reference to relevant records. The individual was already included in the panel year 2008-09 in the integrated seniority list of ACTOs of Zone-VI dated 27-09-2012. Pursuant to this inclusion, he was already promoted as Deputy Commercial Tax Officer in Zone-VI. As such, he has no locus-standi to raise the above objections for the integrated seniority list of the panel years 2009-10.

Accordingly his objections are over-ruled.

g) Objections of Sri B.Ramakishan Rao, ACTO, Sri C. Ramkishan, ACTO and (4) others, Smt T. Indira, ACTO and Sri K. Bheekya, ACTO:-

The main contentions of the individuals are against the seniority list / panels of the ACTOs for the years, 2009-10 to 2012-13, as finalised by the Appointing Authority i.e., Deputy Commissioner (CT), Hyderabad (R) Division, they filed appeals and without disposing appeals, issuing of the present integrated show cause notice to finalize the integrated seniority list of ACTOs of Zone-VI for the period 2009-10 is incorrect and without proper examination. The second contention that placing all the Direct Recruits of 2009 batch enbloc in the integrated show cause notice of ACTOs is contrary to clarificatory instructions of Government in Memo No.5683/CT.I/2014, Rev (CT.I) Dept., dated 29-6-2015. The third contention that all upgraded 114 posts of ACTOs in G.O.Ms.No.201 Finance (SMPC) Dept., dt. 24-5-2005 shall be earmarked to the Rank Promotees in the year 2005 itself as those posts being temporary in nature and no apportionment should be made to the Direct Recruits. Though, initially these posts apportioned to Rank Promotees but subsequently a part of these posts also apportioned to the Direct Recruits, which is contrary to rule 4 (b) of the A.P. State and Subordinate Service Rules, 1996.

Reply to the objections:

The above objections have been examined with reference to relevant records, Commercial Taxes Subordinate Service Rules, 1990 and the case law thereon. The appeals of the appellant Sri B.Ramakishan Rao, ACTO, O/o Hydernagar Circle and others against the seniority list finalised by the Dy.Commissioner (CT) Hyderabad (R) Nodal Division on 1-4-2015 were dismissed by the Commissioner(CT) on 09-12-2015 giving a speaking order on merits of their appeals. Further, the orders of the Government in Memo No.5683/CT.I/2014, Rev (CT.I) Dept., dated 29-6-2015 were subsequently withdrawn by the Government on 7-8-2015. Therefore, the appellants cannot place reliance on the memo dated 29-6-2015 and seek relief of their seniority in the cadre of ACTO in the panel year 2009-10 above DRs. All the Direct Recruits numbering 50 would get their seniority with reference to rule 33 (a) & (b) read with rule 36 (1) of the State and Subordinate Service Rules, 1996 vis-à-vis merit ranking list furnished by the Public Service Commission. All the Direct Recruits were appointed against the substantive carried forward vacancies that arose at cycle points 1,4,6 of the earlier years in Ten Point Cycle as prescribed in the Commercial Taxes Subordinate Service Rules, 1990. Placing all the Direct Recruits enbloc in the seniority list of ACTOs of the panel year 2009-10 of Zone-

VI is in-consonance with the various judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, especially the judgment in Civil Appeal Nos. 7514-7515 of 2005 dated 27-11-2012, in which it was observed that Direct Recruits appointed against carry forward vacancies should be placed enbloc in the seniority list. The inter-se-seniority between rank promotees and the Direct Recruits was also dealt by the Hon'ble Tribunal in OA.No.6022 of 2001 and batch dated 22-7-2003 and in OA.No.5058/2004 dated 11-8-2009, answering the issue in favour of Direct Recruits following earlier judgments of the Hon'ble High Court and Hon'ble Supreme Court. In fact, Government while finalising the seniority of the CTOs in G.O.Ms.No.1661, Revenue (CT-I) Dept., Dt.25-8-2011, placed all the Direct Recruit CTOs enbloc in respective panels / Seniority lists with reference to merit list of the Public Service Commission and the Addl. Commissioner (CT) also followed the same procedure in his orders dated 27-9-2012 in CCT's Ref. No.DX2/395/2011 while revising the seniority of ACTOs for the years 2000-01 to 2008-09.

With regard to their third contention, the Government vide its G.O. Ms. No. 201 read with G.O. Ms. No. 561, dated 13-3-2006 have sanctioned various CTO, DCTO and 114 ACTO posts. Out of these 114 ACTO posts, (26) posts were allotted to Zone VI and (20) posts are allotted to Hyderabad Rural Division and (6) posts are allotted to Secunderabad nodal Division and accordingly these posts.

Further, while finalizing the revised seniority list of ACTOs of Zone VI for the panel years 2000-01 to 2008-09, the incumbents have filed similar objections before the Additional Commissioner (CT) and these objections were examined in detail and rejected vide CCTs Ref. No. DX2/395/2011-Zone VI, dated 27-9-2012, with the following observations:

“Though 26 posts (20 for Hyderabad (Rural) and 6 for Secunderabad nodal divisions) were sanctioned during 2005-06, at that time they were temporary, but now after lapse of 7 years all these vacancies have been substantive, hence apportioned to DRs also according to their quota. Even assuming that all temporary vacancies have to be filled with RPs initially, they shall not be entitled to count for seniority from that panel year without any substantive vacancy and in view of principles laid down by the Hon'ble APAT in O.A. No. 6022/2001 & batch and as modified in O.A.No 5058/2004 and batch dtd. 11-8-2009”.

Therefore, 114 upgraded ACTO posts in G.O.M.No.201 Finance (SMPC) Dept., dated 24-5-2005, these posts were temporary initially but after lapse of time of more than 7 years, all these vacancies became substantive and therefore apportioned to Direct Recruits as per their quota. Even assuming all that temporary vacancies had to be filled up with Rank Promotees initially, they would not be entitled for seniority from that panel year of 2005-06 without there being any substantive vacancy. Seniority will be determined under rule 33 (a) only with reference to appointment to a substantive vacancy as per the judgments of the Hon'ble Tribunal in OAs.6022/2001 and batch dated 22-7-2003 and 5058/2004 and batch dated 11-8-2009.

Sri K. Bheekya, ACTO and others were personally heard on 8 -12-2015, as requested by them. Sri Bheekya, Sri B.Shyam, Sri B. Ramakishan Rao and others were present during the personal hearing. They reiterated their contentions put forth in their written objections to the proposed integrated seniority. During personal hearing they particularly demanded that the clarification issued by Government in Memo No. 3226/CT.1/2014, Revenue (CT.I) Department, dtd. 26-05-2015 be implemented in favour of them by withdrawing the upgraded ACTO posts allotted to DRs.

Sri K. Bheekya, also reiterated his objections against the Roster points adopted the Dy.Commissioner(CT), Hyderabad(Rural) Division while finalizing the seniority.

The contentions put forth by Sri K. Bheekya and others are examined with reference to the relevant records and Government clarification dated 26-5-2015. The Government in the said Memo while reiterating its earlier clarification have directed to take necessary action keeping in view of Rule 4(b)(i) of APS & SS Rules.

In this connection it is informed that the Addl.Commissioner(CT), while revising the seniority of ACTOs from 2000-2001 to 2008-09, has already followed the Rule 4(b)(i) of APS & SS Rules and the Judgements of judiciary in this regard and accordingly apportioned the vacancies among RPs and DR ACTOs.

The objection of Sri Bheekya and Smt T. Indira, ACTOs with regard to Roster points is examined at paras below.

Therefore, all the above objections / contentions of the individuals are unsustainable and are over-ruled.

h) Common objections of S/Sri R.Pandu and N.Venkatesh ACTOs:-

It is their contention that they were selected as Direct Recruit ACTOs under S.T.quota and appointed as ACTOs in the Unit of Appointment of Hyderabad (R) Nodal (CT) Division on 7-11-2009 and 9-11-2009. The Dy.

Commissioner (CT), Hyderabad (R) Division being the appointing authority assigned seniority in the cadre of ACTO at Sl.Nos.24 and 26 in the panel year of ACTOs of 2009-10. Where as the name of Sri K.Bheekya, ACTO, a Rank Promotee S.T. candidate was placed at Sl.No.41 in the same seniority list. However, in the integrated show cause notice issued in the reference dated 23-6-2015 cited, his name is shown at Sl.No.6 above them. It is their plea that a person who is shown junior to them in the cadre of ACTO in the seniority list of Unit of Appointment cannot be shown as senior to them in the integrated seniority list which is contrary to Govt. Circular Memo.No.16/Ser.A/93-39 dtd.21-04-1999. It is also their contention that they would get seniority in the cadre of ACTO from the date of their joining on 7-11-2009 and 9-11-2009 under rule 33 (a) of State and Subordinate Service Rules, 1990 against their substantive vacancies which were much earlier to the date of arising of substantive vacancy on 5-8-2010 for Sri K.Bheekya, ACTO.

As such, they requested for deleting the name of Sri K.Bheekya above their names and to place his name below their names.

Reply to the objections:

The name of Sri K. Bheekya, ACTO was placed at Sl. No. 6 in the proposed integrated seniority list dated 23-6-2015 as per para No. 8.

Sri K. Bheekya, ACTO, working in the office of the Dy.Commissioner(CT), Hyderabad Rural Division and Smt T. Indira, ACTO, working in the office of the CTO, Hydernagar Circle are also disputing the roster points in seniority list/panels of ACTOs of Hyderabad Rural Division.

The above objections of both DR ACTOs and Sri K. Bheekya and Smt.T.Indira, Rank Promotee ACTOs have been examined together with reference to the integrated revised seniority list of ACTOs revised by the then Additional Commissioner (CT) on 27-09-2012 for the years 2000-01 to 2008-09 in respect of Zone-VI, nowhere, the roster points were mentioned so as to prove the contention of the above two rank promotee ACTOs that the last roster point ended during the panel year 2008-09 was at 53.

Further, the Dy.Commissioner (CT), Hyderabad (R) Nodal Division being the Appointing Authority in respect of ACTOs of his nodal division, he would be maintaining roster points and basing on this he would be finalising seniority lists of ACTOs. As seen from the seniority list finalised by the Dy.Commissioner (CT), Hyderabad (R) Nodal Division dated 1-4-2015, the last roster point ended was 59 (OC) during the panel year 2008-09 and the panel year 2009-10 would commence with roster point 60 (OC).

While finalizing the seniority list of ACTOs of Hyderabad Rural Nodal Division dated 1-4-2015, the similar objection of the individuals was examined in detailed and rejected by the Dy.Commissioner(CT), Hyderabad(Rural) Division as it is not tenable.

In view of the above, the contentions raised by Sri K. Bheekya and Smt.T. Indira are rejected as they are not tenable. Further, the objections raised by Sri R. Pandu and Sri N. Venkatesh, DR ACTOs against placement of name of Sri K.Bheekya, ACTO, at Sl.No.6 in the proposed integrated seniority list are examined and found tenable. Hence their contentions are allowed.

In view of the above, the name of Sri K. Bheekya, ACTO is deleted from Sl. No. 6 in the integrated seniority list proposed vide CCTs Ref. No. C(DX)/434/2015, dated 23-6-2015 and placed at appropriate place in the same integrated seniority list basing on the seniority assigned to him by the Dy.Commissioner (CT), Hyderabad(R) Division.

It is to state that the Commissioner (CT), Hyderabad vide reference 17th cited has delegated the powers to the Additional Commissioner (CT), with regard to service matters of ACTOs.

Accordingly, orders are passed finalizing the integrated seniority list of ACTO's of the panel year 2009-10 of Zone VI as per the Annexure appended to this order.

The finalization of above integrated seniority list is subject to the outcome of SLPs/WPs/OAs pending if any, before the Hon'ble Courts and disposal of appeals/ revisions pending before the competent authority.

A copy of this order is available on the internet and can be accessed at the address www.tgct.gov.in.

Encl: Annexure.

ANNEXURE

**INTEGRATED SENIORITY LIST OF ACTOS OF HYDERABAD (RURAL) AND SECUNDERABAD
NODAL DIVISIONS for the panel year 2009-10
(Annexure to CCTs Ref. No. C(DX)/434/2015, dt. 11-12-2015)**

Sl.No.	Panel Year	Name of the Individual	Date of Birth	Nodal Divn	Remarks
1	carried forward vacancy	A.Narendra Kumar	15-08-1955	Hyd	Retired
2	carried forward vacancy	B.Naga Balaram	14-08-1961	Hyd	
3	carried forward vacancy	B.Vijayasagar Babu(SC)	27-05-1970	Hyd	
4	2009-10	S.Rameswaraiah	29-08-1959	Hyd	
5	2009-10	S.Santosham	06-06-1954	Hyd	Retired
6	2009-10	R.V.V.Satyanarayana	12-06-1957	Sec	
7	2009-10	T.Vijaya Bhaskar Reddy (DR) (375)	24-07-1981	Hyd	
8	2009-10	V.Nagaraju, (DR) (375)	1-3-1982	Sec	
9	2009-10	S.Anil Reddy (DR) (375)	24-04-1986	Hyd	
10	2009-10	A.Krishna Vardhan Reddy (DR) (374)	15-08-1974	Hyd	
11	2009-10	D.Karunakar Reddy (DR) (374)	14-8-1978	Sec	
12	2009-10	D.Sridhar Reddy (DR) (373)	10-10-1978	Sec	
13	2009-10	P.Srimannarayana (DR) (373)	25-03-1982	Hyd	
14	2009-10	D.Srinivas Reddy (DR) (372)	20-3-1981	Sec	
15	2009-10	P.Venkata Narasimha Reddy, (DR) (371)	6-1-1967	Sec	
16	2009-10	M.Krishna (DR) (371)	15-8-1972	Sec	
17	2009-10	B.Krishna Mohan Reddy (DR) (371)	19-1-1976	Sec	
18	2009-10	B.Raju (DR) (371)	26-06-1981	Hyd	
19	2009-10	P.Krishna Reddy (DR) (370)	08-12-1971	Hyd	
20	2009-10	A.Janaki Ramulu (DR) (370)	05-06-1975	Hyd	
21	2009-10	Balla Srinivas (DR) (370)	14-03-1978	Hyd	
22	2009-10	A.Narendar Goud (DR) (368)	10-01-1976	Hyd	
23	2009-10	Kappal Murahari (DR) (368)	15-05-1977	Hyd	
24	2009-10	S.Upendar (DR) (368)	20-06-1982	Hyd	
25	2009-10	Kundella Satyanarayana (DR) (367)	10-08-1978	Hyd	
26	2009-10	A.Bhagavanthu,(DR) (365)	13-2-1973	Sec	
27	2009-10	B.Lingaiah (DR) (365)	2-4-1981	Sec	
28	2009-10	D.Kishan Prasad (DR) (364)	09-06-1970	Hyd	Probation Not Declared due to disciplinary case
29	2009-10	M.Bindu Madhavi (DR) (361) DR	4-7-1978	Sec	

Sl.No.	Panel Year	Name of the Individual	Date of Birth	Nodal Divn	Remarks
30	2009-10	V.Chandramouli (DR) (360)	10-6-1977	Sec	
31	2009-10	Ch.Hanumandlu (DR) (359)	5-6-1979	Sec	Selected as CTO
32	2009-10	Rairala Veerababu(SC) (DR) (355)	13-07-1979	Hyd	
33	2009-10	Palapati Pragathikumar (SC) (DR) (354)	17-8-1977	Sec	
34	2009-10	Tirupalli Vijaya (DR) (354)	10-08-1980	Hyd	
35	2009-10	A.Vani (DR) (353)	3-4-1973	Sec	
36	2009-10	V.Indira (DR) (353)	5-7-1973	Sec	
37	2009-10	Suresh (SC) (DR) (353)	29-02-1977	Hyd	
38	2009-10	Adirala Balaiah (SC) (DR) (351)	14-03-1979	Hyd	
39	2009-10	Patel Malathi (DR) (351)	09-03-1982	Hyd	
40	2009-10	Ch.Nageswari (DR) (351)	3-2-1983	Sec	
41	2009-10	Ralhvath Pandu (ST) (DR) (351)	07-05-1983	Hyd	
42	2009-10	Balemula Yadagiri(SC) (DR) (350)	13-08-1968	Hyd	
43	2009-10	Nenavath Venkatesh (ST) (DR) (350)	12-06-1978	Hyd	
44	2009-10	A.Rajyalakshmi,(DR) (347)	18-9-1981	Sec	
45	2009-10	B.Sushmitha (DR) (346)	15-5-1985	Sec	
46	2009-10	Shaik Fayazuddin (DR)(345)	20-07-1980	Hyd	Selected to Group-I and relieved
47	2009-10	V.Chandrakala (DR) (345)	15-6-1983	Sec	
48	2009-10	Donda Swetha (DR) (344)	07-06-1981	Hyd	
49	2009-10	I.Soujanya Naidu (DR) (344)	10-5-1984	Sec	
50	2009-10	Mohd.Moosa (DR) (341)	08-07-1970	Hyd	
51	2009-10	J.Prashanthi (DR) (333)	26-5-1979	Sec	
52	2009-10	P.Sukanya, (DR) (333)	22-3-1982	Sec	
53	2009-10	N.Sujatha, (SC) (DR) (316)	4-12-1975	Sec	
54	2009-10	B.Aruna Bai (ST) (DR) (316)	27-9-1980	Sec	
55	2009-10	Y.Sulochana,(SC) (DR) (311)	12-5-1976	Sec	
56	2009-10	K.Venkata Rao	15-08-1958	Hyd	
57	2009-10	Shanaz Moin		Sec	Retired
58	2009-10	T.Indira (SC)	05-04-1968	Hyd	
59	2009-10	P.Venkat Rao	01-08-1952	Hyd	Retired
60	2009-10	M.Shanker Reddy	19-09-1955	Sec	Retired
61	2009-10	J.V.Subrahmanyam	21-09-1958	Sec	
62	2009-10	C.Rama Kishan	22-04-1959	Hyd	
63	2009-10	R.Kishan	15-10-1957	Hyd	
64	2009-10	Heera Lal	12-06-1953	Sec	Retired
65	2009-10	B.Anand	21-08-1954	Sec	Retired
66	2009-10	C.Krishna	15-03-1959	Sec	
67	2009-10	M.Nirmala	14-01-1956	Sec	Retired

Sl.No.	Panel Year	Name of the Individual	Date of Birth	Nodal Divn	Remarks
68	2009-10	B.Ramkishan Rao	12-10-1960	Hyd	
69	2009-10	S.Sailoo	20-04-1958	Hyd	
70	2009-10	G.Shiva Rao (SC)	13-02-1961	Sec	
71	2009-10	P.Muralimohan Rao	03-03-1953	Sec	Retired
72	2009-10	G.Gopala Krishna	14-11-1956	Sec	Retired
73	2009-10	P.Hanumanth Rao	10-10-1953	Sec	Retired
74	2009-10	G.Vijaya Seshu Naidu	07-05-1953	Sec	Retired
75	2009-10	C.Sudershan	01-07-1955	Sec	Retired
76	2009-10	G.S.V.Vijayamani	23-09-1953	Sec	Retired
77	2009-10	M.V.Sai Prasad	03-06-1960	Sec	
78	2009-10	M.B.Ramulu (SC)	07-10-1955	Hyd	Retired
79	2009-10	A.Venkata Rama Rao	04-06-1957	Hyd	
80	DR	B.Parijatha (SC) (DR) (SPF)	16-09-1973	Hyd	
81	2009-10	A.Pentaiah	17-08-1956	Hyd	Retired
82	2009-10	G.S.Edwin Moses (PH-OH)	05-02-1962	Sec	
83	2009-10	Ch.Sudhakara Rao	02-07-1961	Sec	
84	2009-10	J.Veeranna	10-05-1956	Sec	Retired
85	2009-10	R.Sridhar (SC)	19-09-1961	Sec	
86	2009-10	B.Nava Ratna	03-03-1959	Sec	
87	2009-10	A.Uma Maheswara Rao	21-12-1960	Sec	
88	2009-10	N.Nehru charan (ST)	03-03-1977	Sec	
89	2009-10	K.Bheekya (ST)	10-10-1979	Hyd	ACB trap is case is pending
90	2009-10	M.Bhushan	17-09-1956	Hyd	Retired

Hyd = Hyderabad (Rural) Nodal Division Sec = Secunderabad Nodal Division

K. CHANDRASEKHAR REDDY,
Additional Commissioner (CT) I/c.

—x—